UNITED STATES DISTRICT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NE	W YORK	
JANELLE EDWARDS, et al.,		X
I	Plaintiffs,	ORDER CV 05-2735 (RRM) (ARL)
-against-		
CENTER MORICHES UNION DISTRICT, et al.,	N FREE SCHOOL	
1	Defendants.	V
LINDSAY, Magistrate Judge		-A
Before the court is a series of letters from the parties. <u>See</u> Plaintiffs' letters dated April 9 11 and 16, 2008; Defendant Center Moriches Teachers Association letter dated April 11, 2008, Defendant Tom Krestsos letter April 14, 2008, Defendant Harry Wallace letter, dated April 15, 2008. To the extent that these letters address the circumstances surrounding plaintiffs' March 28 th letter motion to extend the discovery deadline by 90 days, that issue has been addressed <i>ad nauseum</i> and is now moot. <u>See</u> Orders, dated March 31, April 3, and April 9, 2008. Insofar as these defendants collectively seek to limit plaintiffs from supplementing their automatic disclosures, for the reasons that follow, that application is denied.		
discovery should be limited as court, that application was maddated April 2, April 4, and April 9, 2008. To the extent the to serve the supplement "on the been adjourned, defendants can	a sanction for their code by School District of 17, 2008, and denied nat the moving defende eve" of Mrs. Edward hardly claim prejudicement disclosures pursuit	defendants contend that the plaintiffs' unsel's alleged misrepresentation to the efendants and defendant Krestsos by letters. See Orders, dated March 31, April 3, and ants' base their request on plaintiffs' attempts' deposition, given that the deposition has be. Moreover, the defendants' request runs and to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

Dated: Central Islip, New York
April18, 2008

SO ORDERED:

/s/
ARLENE ROSARIO LINDSA

ARLENE ROSARIO LINDSAY United States Magistrate Judge

¹The court notes that defendants Center Moriches UFSD, Board of Education, Phillip Cicero, Lino Bracco, Michael Cruz, Bill Straub, Marc Trocchio, Veronica Tredwell and Bert Nelso (collectively, the "School District defendants") have not weighed in on this round of correspondence. Their position on these issues is known given that they too had requested that plaintiffs' discovery be limited. <u>See</u> School District defendants' letters, dated April 2 and 4, 2008.